G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
~~191~~.
(Murai)

File No. 38 (Miscellaneous)

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

File relating to the Transfer of Jodi Kempalingapura Village in the Devanhalli Tq from the present holder Subbayya to the Lalli-mattu in the said Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	101—16 Mis 45, 48, 49 & 53

Muz. 8] 190 .

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

che. of Bheema Basavadeva, agent of Kuchumaranahalli matt, evanahalli Taluk, re the conversion of some of his lands to be given away as gift to the Matt into Deva daya lands.

From

The Secretary to Govt. in the Muz. Deptt.

No. 1297 Dated 26-8-06. Received 27.8.06.

× × ×
× × ×

No. 2507 dt 12.11.06 Rec. and file.

File re-transferred to the Secy. to Govt., Sl. and Rev. Depts for submission to the Dewan.

The matter has to be finally disposed of by the Rev. Deptt.

Sd/- L. Ananthasami Rao,
Secy., Muz. Deptt.

Bangalore 9/11.06.

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

GPE—2 000—13-2-05

In the matter of the Inam Supt's recommendation that as requested by Channabasawa Walya Agnl. of the Hunsamarahalli matt in the Devanahalli Taluk the village of Lingatta, which is now being treated as personal Inam, may be treated as Devadaya Inam belonging to the said matt, the Deputy recorded his orders as follows:—

"Consult the Revenue Commr and report by myopinion"

Sd/ B. N. K.
24-9-04.

Rep:. Comr's remarks:—

"The Inam Supt's proposal "may be sanctioned".

Sd/ T. Ananda Rao

3rd Oct 1904

Court no: N.

Surgeon Sinclair's remarks: —

No: 1588
4—11—1904.

The recommendation may, in my humble opinion, be sanctioned.

Sd/ L. Sinclair R.M.

Surg, Surgt-

Medical Officer 23/10/04

"Copy"

He

1859

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No.31 dated the 26th. August 1904, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, recommending that the Jodi village of Suggatta in the Devanahally Taluk be treated as Devadaya instead of personal Inam.

Order thereon. No. R.4170-73 / L.R. 17104-2

Dated Bangalore, 16th November 1904.

The village of Suggatta along with six others was granted to the Vaders or a class of Lingayet priests dedicated to a life of celibacy by His Highness the late Maharajah Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadoor in 1812, subject to the conditions of feeding the Jangamas that come to their villages and looking after the Matts belonging to their caste. According to the custum of their religion, such of the Vaders as are elected Ayyas in any particular Mutt are entitled to enjoy the lands attached to it during their lifetime.

Thus by a mistake at the time of the Inam Settlement, the Inam village in question was treated as the personal Inam of the Vader, who was in possession of it, at the time of the enquiry. Chennabasa Vader the present agent of the Hunsmaranhal Mutt in the Devanhalli Taluk, to which the village in question

should properly belong but which by mistake has been considered as the personal Inam of the person officiating as Ayya therein, has applied to the Superintendent of the Survey for the rectification of the mistake and prays that it may be conferred on the said Matt as Devadhaya Inam subject to the payment of the Jodi of Rs.82-2-11, to which it was liable according to the original grant. The Inam Superintendent supports the application and recommends that the village in question may be confirmed to the Mutt Mutt as Devadhaya Inam, subject to the payment of a Jodi of Rs.82/- omitting fractions. Government are accordingly pleased to sanction the above recommendation.

For Secretary.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,

returning enclosures.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated 3-12-1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2021
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to Govt. Pro.
No. R 4170-73 / L.R. 171-04-2 dy 14th Nov. 1904
sanctioning the treatment
of the Jodi village of Saggatta
in the Devanhalli Taluk
as "Devadaya" instead of
"Personal", has the honor
to request that the necessary
changes may be caused to be
made in the Title Deed and
the Quit-Rent Register and

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000-5-10-04.

a fresh Title deed granted
in favour of the Hunsumaranahalli
Math, and the fact intimated
to this Office.

By order

26/11/04

Secretary
Mun:s Dept

Ds
23/11/04

NOS: 2022 & 2023

Copy to the Sur:r & Left:r

Comm:r in Mysore for inf:n.

Copy to the Dy Comm:r, Bangalore
District for inf:n.

By order

Sec:y

Hc

1904-905

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

Dated 18th
Despatched 20th } February 1905
Received

General No. 90
No.

Enclosure No.

His docket No. 2021/Muz/5 of the 3rd December 1904.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that — Suggatta village in the Devanahalli Taluk has been registered as "Devadaya" and a fresh Title-Deed in favour of the Hunsamaranahalli Mutt has been sent for delivery to the party.

Supt. of Inam Settl.

MYSORE COLL... 3099 ...RARY

Refers
ks
20/2/05
Submitted.

The needful changes have been made in the Regr. & a Title Deed issued as desired.
Mr may be filed.
W 23/2/05

File
ks
25/2/05

From A.O.T.C. No. 1. 6.05.
454.

~~Enclosed~~

The original Summons said to have been granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna-raja Wadeyar Bahadur III in 1812 is not forthcoming in the records now received -

Clerk 10.7.05

From the memorandum dated 20th September 1812 copy of the Summons it is observed that 7 villages as noted below were granted on Jodi tenure by the Amildars of the Mutts in the Devana-halli Taluk: —

Slip A

Name of the village -	Name of the Grantee.
(1) Hanumanamachalli —	Parvata Wadiyar
(2) Lingegatta —	Channavira Wadiyar
(3) Kempalingapura —	Linga Wadiyar

(4) Gollahalli — Basava Wadiyar

(5) Chicka Obanahalli — Mahanta Wadiyar

(6) Vadarahalli — Malla Wadiyar

(7) Sura ——— Ateeta Wadiyar —

From the Quitrent Register of the Dorahalli Taluk it is found that 3 villages viz Hunsanayakanahalli, Chicka Obanahalli and Sura were conferred to the "Mahanta Matt at Hunsanayakanahalli and Dorahalli"; and that Nanjundapura and Gollahalli were conferred to "Lakshmipathy Devaru" and "Linga Devaru" Mutts respectively. ~~Wadarahalli~~ The villages of Suggatta and Wadarahalli are not to be found in the Quitrent Register, but the petitioner says in his petition that all the 6 villages excepting Wadarahalli belong to the Hunsanayakana-halli Mahant Matt. Excluding

the three villages ~~viz~~ land- were
confirmed to this matt at the
time of the Lucas Settlement, and
the one village viz Wadumahalli
which was originally granted to
Mulla Wadigar land- which was
subsequently resumed & grant-
in 1813 on account of the murder committed
by the grantee's son, it- is not-
known how the ~~rate~~ remaining
3 villages which were granted to ~~the~~
different matts came to the
Mahant's matt, as stated by the Peons.

As regards Wadumahalli
the restoration of which to the Hunsamarnahalli Matt is now sought for,
it- is observed that it- was
originally granted to Mulla Wadigar

~~land- it- is not- known whether this~~
who appears to have had
~~Wadumahalli Wadigar's matt & whether~~

a different matt, and not- to the

Hanumanamakulli matt. Since
its resumption by Government in 1813
it has been a Government village,
although the Sangeshwar Lingayats
Urs is said to have ~~[illegible]~~ issued
an order for its release in ಶ್ರೀ
ಸಂ ೨೯೦೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಮನ್ಮಹಾ ಮಂಡಲೇಶ್ವರ ಅಭಿನವ ೨.೨೪ C
may also be observed that the order
of resumption was issued ~~by His Highness~~ under the signature
of Dewan Rama Rao
in ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂ ೨೯೦೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಮನ್ಮಹಾ ಮಂಡಲೇಶ್ವರ ಅಭಿನವ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂ ೧೪ B
and the order of restoration was
signed by Sangeshwar Lingayat Urs 3 months
later —

Before the final orders of
Govt. are passed in this matter,
it is desirable to ascertain how many
of the 7 matts mentioned in the
Farman of 1812 are now in existence
and how the 6 villages became the
property of the Hanumanamakulli matt

21-

It is also necessary to enquire whether Wadivakkili was granted for the personal benefit of the grantee or for the benefit of the mutt over which he presided, and when that mutt was amalgamated with the Thiruvavaduthurai mutt. Unless these particulars are known, and the claim of the Thiruvavaduthurai mutt to this village is established by means of proper records, the restoration of the village cannot, in my humble opinion, be ordered —

(4/1
O No. 157 Edgr G. R-S. 12-7-05. Forwarded to the Secty to Govt,
Land Rev. File No. 6440/1904-05 8/7/05 Genl & Rev Depts.
9/7/05

Reply to Land & Rev

Secretary's D.O. No. 27/1566

dated 2nd October 1905

No. 1891 Encl one file.

The points for decision in this case are:—

(i) Whether the gaonli village of Kumpalingapuram was originally granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III in 1812, for the personal benefit of the grantee Linda Wadiyar, or for the maintenance of a matt

(ii) If the grant was

was for the benefit of
an Institution, whether
it was for the maṭṭ al-
Kaṣṣalingapura or al-
Hamsamaṇḍalli -; and
if it were for the former
whether the object of the
grant is now fulfilled; or
if it were for the latter,
whether the grantee
Siddha Wadiyar, and
after his death his descendants,
held it in subordination
to that maṭṭ and if
so, what documents there
are in support of his
statement.

As regards the first
point :—

(1) Hanumanthalli .... Ganuki Wadiyar
(2) Chikka Obanahalli .... Mahanuki Wadiyar
(3) Bare ——————— Attiki Wadiyar
(4) Kempapatta ..... Channavara Wadiyar
(5) Kyadahalli ..... Banavara Wadiyar
(6) Wadenahalli ..... Mallu Wadiyar
(7) Kempinayakana ..... Linda Wadiyar

It is clear from the records that the village was granted for the support of a math and not for the personal benefit of the grantee

As regards the second point :—

The Inam of the 20th September 1812 makes mention of 7 villages (including the village in under dispute) as having been granted to 7 different Jangamas as noted in the margin. Of these 7 villages, the first five are already in the enjoyment of the

the Hunsimarumahalli
matt and the circumstances
under which each of them
happened to be attached
to that matt are explained
in the Inam Superintendent's
letter no 8 dated 8th
July 1905 and in the
original Inam Registers
received herewith —

The only two villages
that are now under
the management of the
Hunsimarumahalli
matt are Wadarahalli
and Kempalingapura.
The matt's claim to
the former village,
which was resumed by
Government on account of

of the murder committed
by the grantee's sons,
is pending before Govt.

As regards the village
of Kumplingapura, I find
from the records that
it is now in the enjoyment of
one Lidda Waderu, the
grandson of the original
donee; that though
the states that he has
a right of his own in
the village, it is clear
that there is no such
building, that he has
been misappropriating the
proceeds from the village
and that he has also
alienated it. It is also
reason

(1)

reported that he is a man of loose character and of humble opinion that the first step that has to be taken in this direction is to resume the village immediately and take it under Government management —

that he was criminally convicted and sentenced to imprisonment. I am

As regards the question as to whether the Hunsamarandahalli mutt has any claim to this village, I beg to state that for the reasons set forth in pages 8 & 10 of this note, and considering the fact that in the case of one of these 7 villages themselves, viz, Sangatta, the land was held in their

Govt no R 4170-73 dated
Z. R 171-04-2

14th November 1904 that-

"the village should

properly belong to the

Hunsamanahalli

matt" I am of humble

opinion that the village

of Kempalingapura

also may be considered

as belonging to the

Hunsamanahalli

said matt, to which it may

be accordingly restored.

But this can only be

done after a sufficient

time is given to the

present holder, to establish

his claim to the village in question. In the

meantime it may be temporarily resumed.

The information

as to the present status

of the Hunsamanahalli

matt

and other details
connected with the
Institution, may be
called for from the
Dy Comrs, as they
are not available in
this Office —

22/10/05

21/10/05

M S 7
8-1 file

315

Dy. Comrs Office
Bangalore
11-4-21

From H H Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to your Memo no 4187 dated 8th June 1905, forwarding for report petition from Chenna Veera Wadayer, Agent of Huna-Samaranahalli Mutt, in the Devanhalli Taluk, and Government Proceedings no 4004-7 dated 17th March 1906 passing orders regarding the transfer of Jodi village of Kempulingapura in the Devanahalli Taluk to the said Mutt,

I have the honor to state that the request of the petitioner Chenna Basava Wadayer comprises the following objects:-

(1) That the lands and other properties should be registered in the name of the Mutt.

(2) That the tax on oil mills dedicated for the use of the Mutt should be removed.

(3) That the Jodi on these lands should be remitted and they should be treated as

as Devadayar Swami

(4) that a moiety of the cost for the repairs of the mutt to be sanctioned from Govt.

(5) that the mutt should be honored by inviting its representative to the palace on important occasions;

(6) and that Lastick should be sanctioned for the mutt

points 1, can be decided hereafter making the needful enquiries regarding the rights of the present Khatedars and their readiness to cause the necessary transfers.

point 2 also will be decided hereafter ascertaining how far the mutt is benefitted by the oil mills. The Assistant Commissioner will be requested to attend to these points.

3. As regards the 3rd point the Assistant Commissioner states that the petitioners idea seems to be that the Jodi lands now enfranchised, should again be disenfranchised and the quit rent now imposed for having enfranchised the lands may also be removed, so that the lands may be inalienable. The petitioner's idea being quite laudable, I propose the prayer may be granted.

4 Regarding the repair of the mutt building

the Public Works Department may be asked to get an estimate prepared and the Govt. may be pleased to sanction a moiety of the cost. The Assistant Commissioner, raises objection for the Agent living in a portion of the Mail building. But the Agent has petitioned to this office stating that he is in the mail building only for the purpose of supervising the mail business and that he has no family &c requiring any residence. Under such circumstances, the petitioner's residing there may not be a bar to the grant of this prayer.

5 The prayer of the petitioner for the invitations from the palace on festive occasions deserves favourable Consideration of Govt.

6. As regards the last point, I beg to state that Jastick of 15 Varahas per month payable according to original Sannad was in the year Vikrama reduced by 9 Varahas and brought down to 72 Kanteraji Varahas per annum. At this reduced rate the mail

was

was enjoying the tastick up to the year Sivabanu ~~xxxx~~. It is not known why and when the allowance was stopped, I beg to request that Government may be pleased to sanction the renewal of the cash grant, as it will not only add much prestige to the name and honor of the institution, but it would be very ~~useful~~ helpful at times of scarcity as the expenditure cannot entirely be met from the produce of the Inam lands.

The entire Varnaculars records together with a detailed report of the Assistant Commissioner are herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honor &c
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) H. H. Sparkes

Copy.

The Original letter has been forwarded to the Secy, Gen and Rev. Departments in Connection with another file on the same subject with this Office No. Of 10.11.06.

G.R.S. 38]

File No. 114- 04

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(LR)

, the 190

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

READ.—

Correspondence ending with the letter No. 8 of the 7th July 1905 from the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements in Mysore recommending that the village of Kempalingapura in the Devanahalli Taluk

ORDER THEREON.—No.

which was confirmed as Inam at the time of the settlement to the ancestors of one Siddayya, who is now in possession of the village, may be recognized as belonging to the Hunsamanahalli Mutt in the said Taluk and may accord-

over

SUBJECT

MGCPB—9,000—11-9-04

ingly be transferred to it

Order thereon - -

(Siddayya the present holder
claims the village as a personal
(Inam and has created an -,
usufructuary mortgage on it
The village was originally granted
by ~~His Late~~ H H. the late Maharaja
Krishnaraja Wadiar Bahadur IV
in 1812 and from the Sannad
issued then together with other
connected papers, it is clear
that the village was granted for
the support of a Mutt and not
for the personal benefit of the
grantee. The present holder of
the village, the grandson of the
original donee and his state-
ment, that the mutt, on account
of which the Inam was originally
granted, is still in existence,
is not borne out by the facts
recorded on a local enquiry
by the Amildar. He has been

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*

decoding the income of the village to his own use and has moreover alienated it It is also reported that he is a man of loose character and that he was criminally convicted and sentenced to imprisonment. As the object of the endowment has failed, Govt. ~~consider it desirable~~ direct that the village ~~shoud~~ be resumed immediately and ~~taken~~ brought under Govt. management.

2. As regards the claim of the Hunsamarandahalli Mutt to the village in question, the Sanad of the 20th Sept 1812 referred to above makes mention of 7 villages (including the one under dispute) as having been granted to 7 different Jangams. From this and other circumstances appearing on record, it cannot be satisfactorily ~~ascertained~~ ascertained (~~if~~ whether the several institutions for the ben

of which the grants in question were made were independent of each other or subject to a common jurisdiction & whether this was due to the Hunsamanahalli Mutt. Whatever may have been the nature of the original grant, there is no clear evidence as to whether any control was exercised by the Hunsamanahalli Mutt over that to which the village in question was granted at any period and for what purposes. Considering the long period which has elapsed since the documents, which have been produced to prove the alleged recognition of the superior title, came into existence, Govt are of opinion that the Hunsamanahalli Mutt cannot claim the assistance of Govt as a matter of right to regain the possession of the village. Its restoration should therefore depend on other considerations. ~~A further report will be called for from~~ The Dy Comr of the Bangalore Dist will be requested to submit a further report regarding the present status of the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Hussanmarra Ballu Mutt,
the public purpose it serves,
together with the present
income, the mode in which
it is utilized, the arrange-
ment which exists for
the management of the institution and other details
concerning it with a view
to ascertain whether the Mutt
is deserving of any con-
cession at the hands of
Govt.

1.11.1905
Secretary.

31/10/05

To the Inam Supdt. at Mysore.
To the Revl. Comr. at Mysore.
The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of H.H. the Maharaja
The Dy Comr. of the Bangalore Dt

ndence ending with letter No. 8, dated 8th July 1905, from the Superintendent of ements in Mysore, regarding the village of Kempalingapura in the Devanhalli Ta.

No. 4004-7, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH MARCH 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—The village of Kempalingapura in the Devanhalli Taluk has been now in the possession and enjoyment of one Sidda Waderu, having been granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, on the 20th September 1812 in the name of Sidda Waderu, one of the ancestors of the present enjoyer. Channabasava Waderu, Agent of the Hunasamaranahalli Mahantana Matt in the said Taluk, claims the village as belonging to the Hunasamaranahalli Matt, while Sidda Waderu, the present enjoyer of the village, claims it as his ancestral property and has accordingly alienated it to a third party. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, before whom the Agent of the Hunasamaranahalli Matt put forward the Matt's claim to the village in question, has expressed the opinion after local enquiry that the village which was confirmed at the time of the Inam Settlement to the ancestors of the present enjoyer, should have been settled for the Hunasamaranahalli Matt, and that the management should now be transferred to that Matt.

2. On going through the records the Government observe that there are two points for decision in this case, namely:—

(i). Whether the village was originally granted for the personal benefit of the grantee Sidda Waderu or for the maintenance of a Matt.

(ii). If the grant was for the benefit of an Institution, whether it was for the Matt at Kempalingapura or at Hunasamaranahalli and if it were for the former, whether the object of the grant is fulfilled; or if it were for the latter, whether the grantee Sidda Waderu, and after his death, his descendants, held it in subordination to that Matt.

3. As regards the first point, it is clear from the Sannad of the year 1812 and other connected papers that the village was granted for the support of a Matt and *not* for the personal benefit of the grantee.

As regards the second point—

The Sannad makes mention of seven villages (including Kempalingapura the village under dispute) as having been granted to seven different Jangamas as noted in the margin. Of these seven villages the first five are already in the enjoyment of the Hunasamaranahalli Matt, and as regards Kempalingapura, one of the remaining two villages, it is found from the records that it is now in the unauthorized enjoyment of Sidda Waderu, who alleges that he has a Matt of his own in the village while it is reported by the Amildar that there is no such building and that he has been misappropriating the proceeds from the village and has also alienated it. It is also reported that he is a man of loose character and that he was criminally convicted and sentenced to imprisonment. As the object of the original grant is not fulfilled the Government are pleased to direct that the village in question be immediately resumed as a temporary measure and brought under Government management, six months' time being allowed to the present holder Sidda Waderu within which he should, if possible establish his claim to the village.

Village.	Name of grantee.
Hunasamaranahalli	... Parwata Waderu.
Chikka Obanahalli	.. Mahanta Waderu.
Pura	... Ateeta Waderu.
Sugatta	... Chennavecra Waderu.
Gollahalli	.. Basava Waderu.
Waderahalli	.. Malla Waderu.
Kempalingapura	... Sidda Waderu.

Muzrai, dated 17th March 1906.

4. As regards the question as to whether the Hunasamaranahalli Matt has a claim to this village, the Government are inclined to believe from the Sannad and other old documents produced by the Agent of the said Matt, that the village should be considered as belonging to that Matt especially considering the fact that five out of the seven villages mentioned in the Sannad of 1812 are already in the possession and enjoyment of that Matt and that in the case of one of these villages *viz.*, Sugatta the Government held in their Proceedings No. R. 4170-73—L. R. 171-04-2, dated 14th November 1904 that "the village should properly belong to the Hunasamaranahalli Matt." They therefore concur in the opinion of the Inam Superintendent that the management of the village should be transferred to that Matt. But final orders on this point will be passed on the expiry of the period allowed to the present holder of the village to establish his claim. In the meanwhile the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District is requested to submit a report regarding the present status of the Hunasamaranahalli Matt, its present income, the mode in which it is utilized and the arrangement which exists for the management of the institution.

Draft)

Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.,

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Department.

Exd.—C. V.

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 17th March 1906

No. 4004-7 / Muz. F.

Passing orders regarding the transfer of the Jodi village of Kempalingapura in the Devanhalli Taluk to the Hunasamaranahalli Matt in the said Taluk.

GPB—31-3-06—200

Dft for approval

No. 5002 D/14.6.08 Jn

The Secretary To Govt
Genl. and Rev Depts

Sir,

I am directed To state that on the review-application of Sidda Wader, the question of the re-consideration of the orders of Govt regarding the transfer of the godi village of Kempalingapura in the Devanahalli Taluk to the Hunsemaranahalli matt in the said Taluk, is before Govt. In this Connection the Dy. Commr of the Bangalore Distt. was requested to submit among others, the *i -notes documents

* Relevant together with its correspondence if any, re 178 dated 10th march 1905 of the late Amildar Mr. D. Dharmaraja Chetty on his personal inspection of the matt in the godi village in question and the charities existing therein.

2) the original agreement said to have been executed by the appellants' ancestors in favor of the Hunsemaranahalli matt

9/5

on the subject. The Dy.
Comm^r of the ~~Bangalore~~
~~District~~ reports in reply
that the correspondence
connected with the Amildar's
report of the 10th march 1905
referred to was forwarded
to the Genl. and Rev. Scott
with the Dwan Superintendent's
letter no. 125 dated 9th June 1905
and that the original
agreement presented before
Govt. by Chennakrana
Water has not been
returned. I am directed
to request that you
will be good enough to ~~transmit~~
~~Cause of retransmission~~ forwarded
for reference
of the above documents to this Office
at an early date.

I have etc.

13/5/08
Secretary.

G.R S. 31]

No. R. 7754
L—R. 175—07

From

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire,B.

Offg: **Secretary to the Government of His Highnsss the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.**

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 4801 26.5.08

To

L.Anantasamu Rao Esquire,
Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Dated Bangalore, the 26th May 1908

Genl. and Rev. Secretariat.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 5002 dated the 14th May 1908 requesting that certain correspondence regarding the Inam villages attached to the ----- Hunsamaranahalli Matt in the Devanhalli taluk may be sent to your office for reference, I am directed to forward herewith the enclosures sent with your letter No.4159 dated 24th March 1908 wherein is found the references required. These papers may kindly be sent to this Office when done with as they are required for the disposal

GPB—8,000- 14-3-07

disposal of another case regarding which a reply from the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner is awaited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary

Y.L 25-

G.R.S. 31]

No. R. 11

L. R. 375—07

From

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

41

1908

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Huzur Department.

Bangalore, dated, the 1st July 1908.

Genl. and Rev. Secretariat.

Sir,

V.S.
Refer conf.
Please attend as
W 1/7/08

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No.7754, dated 26th May 1908, forwarding certain records relating to the Inam villages of the Hunsamaranahalli Mutt and to request you to be so good as to send back the same as they are required for reference.

Hab 2/7/08

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government.

M. V.

GPB—5,000—3-5-08

3.7.

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Secretary to Govt.
General and Rev. Dept.

Dated

Despatched 4.7.08.

Received

General No. 24.
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your
Letter no. R 11/LR 375-07 dt.
the
3rd 1908 requesting the return
of the records relating to the
main villages of the Hunse-
maranahalli Mutt forwarded
with your R.
with no. 7754 dt. 26th May 1908.
I am directed to state
that the records referred to
are required for

G.P.L.—3,000—19-2-07

Study and preparation of a note
in connection with the
disposal of the case on
the Subject which is posted
for hearing on the 25th inst.
The papers
and that the Case will be
returned when done with

Thanks &c

Secretary

4/3/08

G.R.S. 31]



No. R. 696

d-62-3 ~~45~~-07

From * The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Submitted

~~Regd. acknowledged~~

~~Orders issued~~

I shall submit this in person

6/8/08

Genl. and Rev. Secretariat.

Bangalore, the 31st July 1908. ~~1908~~

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to your letter No. 24 dated the 4th July 1908 and to request you to be so good as to forward early the papers relating to the Hunsamaranahalli Mutt referred to therein as they are necessary for decision in connection with a claim for the jodi Chikkobanahalli village and for refund of the excess jodi paid in respect of the village . These questions have been pending disposal from a long time.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.P.B.—5,000—25-6-06

For Secretary to Government.

A.V.

G.R.S. 31]

No. R 1471
L R 375-07

From

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Departments.

Bangalore, the 4th September 1908.

Genl. and Rev. Secretariat

Sir

I am directed to invite your attention to this Office letter No. R 7754/L.R. 375-07 dated 26th May 1908 and subsequent reminders thereto and to request you to be so good as to forward the records referred to therein without further delay

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Govt.

K.R.

-V. PP. Sent. Vide Issue Book.

10/9/08

GPS - 4000—15.5.08